

REMARKS

This is a response to the office action issued on Dec. 2, 2005. Claims 1-20 are pending with claims 6-7 withdrawn.

The examiner rejected claims 1-5, 9, 10, 13, 15-18 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wilmers.

The examiner rejected claims 1-5, 9, 10, 13, 15-18 under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by Focke et al.

The examiner rejected claims 10, 13, 15-18 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Reinicke et al.

The examiner rejected claims 1-5, 8-10, 13, 15-20 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gipson et al.

The examiner rejected claims 11, 12 and 14 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wilmers or Focke et al. or Reinicke et al or Gipson et al.

The independent claims have been amended to contain an x-y positioner. None of the cited art discloses such a positioner used with a magnetostrictive nozzle. Some dependent claims now claim a plurality of nozzles. None of the cited art discloses a plurality of magnetostrictive nozzles used with an x-y positioner.

For these reasons, the examiner will find that the claims are now allowable. The examiner is respectfully requested to place the case in condition for allowance at his earliest convenience.

Respectfully submitted



Clifford H. Kraft
Reg. No. 35,229
Attorney of Record

CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS

Clifford Kraft
320 Robin Hill Dr.
Naperville, IL 60540

708 528-9092 tel

This paper is being filed by United States First Class Mail addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria VA. 22313-1450 with sufficient postage on:

Date: FEB. 28, 2006

Signature: Clifford Kraft

Name: Clifford H. Kraft